barren of manufacturing and the foundations for industry. Today more than 700 new or rehabilitated factories—textile mills and cement plants, electronics and plastics are changing the entire face of that nation. New roads and communications, railroad equipment, and electric generators are a spreading base on which this new industry can, and is, growing.

### Progress in the midst of war

All this progress goes on, and it is going to continue to go on, under circumstances of staggering adversity.

Communist terrorists have made aid programs that we administer a very special target of their attack. They fear them, because agricultural stations are being destroyed and medical centers are being burned. More than 100 Vietnamese malaria fighters are dead. Our own AID officials have been wounded and kidnapped. These are not just the accidents of war. They are a part of a deliberate campaign, in the words of the Communists, "to cut the fingers of the hands of the Covernment."

We intend to continue, and we intend to increase our help to Vietnam.

Nor can anyone doubt the determination of the South Vietnamese themselves. They have lost more than 12,000 of their men since I became your President a little over a

But progress does not come from investment alone, or plans on a desk, or even the directives and the orders that we approve here in Washington. It takes men. Men must take the seed to the farmer. Men must teach the use of fertiliser. Men must help in harvest. Men must build the schools, and men must instruct the students. Men must carry medicine into the jungle, and treat the sick, and shelter the homeless. And men—brave, tireless, filled with love for their fellows—are doing this today. They are doing it through the long, hot, danger-filled Vietnamese days and the sultry nights.

The fullest glory must go, also, to those South Vietnamese that are laboring and dying for their own people and their own nation. In hospitals and schools, along the rice fields and the roads, they continue to labor, never knowing when death or terror may strike.

How incredible it is that there are a few who still say that the South Victnamese do not want to continue the struggle. They are sacrificing and they are dying by the thousands. Their patient valor in the heavy presence of personal physical danger should be a helpful lesson to those of us who, here in America, only have to read about it, or hear about it on the television or radio.

We have our own heroes who labor at the works of peace in the midst-of-war. They could unarmed and out of uniform. They cannot the humanity of their concern does not exempt them from the horrors of conflict, yet they go on from day to day. They bring foul to the hungry over there. They supply the sick with necessary medicine. They help the farmer with his crops, families to find clean water, villages to receive the healthing mirrides of electricity. These are Ambridains who have joined our AID program, and we welcome others to their ranks.

## A cell for est

For most Americans this an easy war, blen fight and ment suffer and men file, at they always do in war. But the lives of most of us, at least those of us in this room unit these interesting to me this mosting, are untroubled. Prosperity, rises, abundance increases, the Nation flourispee.

I will report to the Cabinet when I leave this room that we are in the 51st month of continued prosperity, the longest pesostime prosperity for America since our country was founded. Yet our untire future is at stake: What a difference it would make if we could only call upon a small fraction of our unmatched private resources—businesses and unions, agricultural groups and builders—if we could call them to the task of peaceful progress in Vietnam. With such a spirit of patriotic sacrifice we might well strike an irresistible blow for freedom there and for freedom throughout the world.

I therefore hope that every person within the sound of my voice is this country this morning will look for ways—and those citsens of other nations who believe in humanity as we do. I hope that they will find ways to help progress in South Vietnam.

This, then, is the third face of our struggle in Vistnam. It was there—the illiterate, the hungry, the sick—before this war began. It will be there when peace comes to us—and so will we—not with soldiers and planes, not with bombs and bullets, but with all the wondrous weapons of peace in the 20th century.

And then, perhaps, together, all of the people of the world can share that gracious task with all the people of Vietnam, North and South allke.

#### HOPE FOR PEACE IN YEMEN

Mr. FULBRIGHT. Mr. President, at a time when a number of world issues are in crisis or in deadlock, it is gratifying to note an act of statesmanship and conciliation. I refer to President Pleaser's decision to go to Saudi Arabia on August 22 to discuss the situation in Yemen with King Faisal. In preparation for his discussions with King Faisal, President Naser has been meeting in Alexandria with Yemeni leaders in an effort to devise proposals for ending the festering Yemeni war.

In the meantime, tensions along the Saudi-Yemeni border, which until quite recently were rising, are now visibly abating. It is to be hoped that reduced hostilities will create a favorable atmosphere for peace negotiations.

President Nasser is often criticised in the United States for provocative actions and policies. It is fair and proper that we commend the President of the United Arab Republic when he takes conciliatory action for peace, as he is now doing with respect to Temen.

Mr. President, I hope that the negotiations will turn out successfully, as it was a very dangerous situation in that area, and has been for some 3 years.

FURTHER AMENDMENT OF FOR-EIGH ARRISTANCE ACT OF 1961— CONFERENCE REPORT

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill (H.R. 7780) to amend further the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, and for other purposes.

Mr. FULBRIGHTA Mr. President, the conferees on the Poteign Additioner not met 14 times before final agretisent was reached on the text now before the Sense atc. Mas went the subject of foreign aid has been actively before the Senses in one form or another kinds March. & period of 5 months, and we have not yet acted on the appropriations bill 1 25 3400

I wish I could report to my colleagues that they would not need to begin the process all over again 3 or 4 months hence. But this is not the case because

the Senate conferees reluctantly—cortainly, from my point of view, most reluctantly—were forced to accept those provisions of the House bill which authorized the program for only 1 more year.

Depressing as I personally find it to ask for approval of this conference report, nevertheless, I believe that it is possible we may have laid the groundwork for more thorough reforms next year. For the record, I wish to state that the Senate conferees, in agreeing with the House conference to omit from this year's act Senate language authorising a 2-year aid program and calling for a planning committee to study the basic principles underlying U.S. aid programs, placed reliance on the following factors. With respect to the 2-year authorization, the Senate conferres receded on the basis of:

First. The willingness of the House members of the committee of the conference to urge their House colleagues next year "to examine with the greatest care such proposals as may be submitted authorizing foreign aid programs for 2 of more years"; and

Second. The statement of the Bernel to tary of State when he met with the Forest eign Relations Committee on August 42, 21965, that next year "the administration expects to request that the multiyear principle adoped by the Congress in 1961 and 1962 for development lending be extended to include all other authorizations contained in the foreign aid bill to be proposed early in the next session of Congress."

I am hopeful that next year with the support of the administration and with the agreement of the House conferees, to examine a longer term authorization with the greatest of care. That some headway may be made so we may get away from the dreary cycle of 1-year. And programs.

Throughout the conference, the House onferees reiterated time and time against that one of the reasons why they were unwilling to accept the amendment was that it was not requested by the administration. Whether that will be determinative, I do not know, but at least one step forward has been taken. Generally speaking, the administration's recommendations in these matters are given of serious consideration by both bodies.

On the subject of the Senate's proposal to create a planning committee to examine the basic principles of foreign aid, the Senate receded on the basis of the following factors:

Pirst. The statement of the punferees of both Houses urging the President "to inaugurate a review of the slid program the as presently constituted, seaking it direct it more effectively foward the solution of the problems of the developing countries," and people the statement of the fective state of State on the constitution of the fective state of State on the constitution.

second. The statement of the secretary of State on the occasion referred to above that, despite its opposition to the creation of the foreign aid planning committee and certain other related provides in evertheless, the administration recognizes "the concern of the Benste about the future content and direction of the foreign aid program."

In this connection I may say that I have already requested our chief of staff of the committee to undertake preliminary preparations for a review of our aid program by the committee. We have some this in the past in other fields. I am, sure this can be very useful in this compection.

The Secretary of State added:

We would be very glessed to sesist in any way we could any studies undertaken by the two legislative committees. In addition, the executive branch, prompted by these congressional concerns, will conduct a special ating of the program, giving particular at-tension to the name raised by this com-mittee: this number of neutries receiving emistance: the requires and the prospects for achieving our objectives and terminating assistance; the conbutton of other developed bountries; and the appropriate relationships between biinternal and modulatoral and

On the subject of whether the national interest might better be served by increasing the proportion of development aid to be administered by the World Bank and related agencies, rather than solely through U.S. agencies, the Senate conferees were assured by the statement of the Secretary of State that the administration has asked the Appropriations Committees to "remove the probibition in the Appropriations Act on the use of the authority of section 205 of the Foreign Assistance Act."

This provision in past AID appropriation acts has prohibited the effective use of certain percentages, in the past, 10 percent and under the present bill, 15 percent, of the Development Loan Fund to be made available to international

development agencies.

I hope very much that the Appropriations Committees will follow the recom mendations of the administration on this point and will not this year nullity the considered provision of the authorizing legislation specifying that not to exceed. 15 percent of the Development Loan Fund may be used by the President through lending institutions such as the Bank and the International Development Association. This would enable the aid program to turn other free nations to help in the development process...

Secretary Rusk stated:

The unsouter branch and the Obsgrass are in agreement on the destrubility of ettlarging the sesources available to interestional aid-giving agencies on the bests of cost sharing among the advenced populaties. This is still very potter,

I regret that the Benale conferees were not able to prevail upon their House counterparts to accept this year the provisions of the so-called Motes amend-ment. That amendment would immedistriy have insugurated a much needed review of the aid program. It provided clear mandates as to the destrability of interrupting aid continuity "in its pres-ent form." The series of basic principles set forth in that amendment went to the heart of the proliferation of country pronest of the printeration of country programs without lying These programs to pore. The Chair would say that it is that
principles by which we might have promoted a fightened definition of the netional interest.

I also regret that we were not able for of a quorum.

I also regret that we were not able for of a quorum.

Put the aid program on a 2-year back. The ACTING PRINCIPATING per tensthus enabling the Committee on Foreign pore. The clerk will call the roll.

Relations and the Senate to devote more time and attention to the many areas of foreign policy which need projew and more modern mandates.

There were, of course, a number of other points in lasue between the two Houses. This disposition of these issues is set forth in the conference report.

A number of loopholes were closed, including a blanket authorization pro-Vision which existed in the House Mil.

The overall amount sutborized in the bill and previous aid legislation is \$2.25 billion which is some 897 million loss than the administration asked for in March. I ask unantmous consent to tosert in the RECORD at this point a table showing the disposition of the seministration's request on an item by item basis,

There being no objection, the table was ordered to be printed in the Rizonap. as follows:

#### AUTHORIZETICE OF FUNDA

The following table shows the differences between the House bill and the Sengte amendment, the sums agreed to by the committee of conference and the administration appropriation request for programs authorised in this bill and in existing law:

# Perciya Assistance Act of 1865 (focal year 1966)

	Signostive appropri- eties request	House	Benate	Conser- tasce	Adjust- tueck spalinst Riction	Adjust- ment spates Same
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	(1)	æ	(9)	(10)	(2) and	(9) and
To evaluate the state of the st	18583848888 38 8 127 1821848 88 38 8	200 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	(1) 270, 000 00, 000 0, 000 101, 000 260, 000 26	(1) 210, 600 (2) (2) (77, 000) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (7) (6) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	(-14,000) +4,000 +4,000	-4000 AM

i, plus unappropriated partisms of r 1888 is \$760,280,000. d economics programs in which that

no request the finest year 1990, to 1970, 290,000, or incline for mellionry and exceeding programs. This way added to the section of the law we fill the application for technical according to the payer. Amounts surfactiond for finish years Mis-On.

I The House bill sentationd on authorization of such some as may be measured to confine may fine. The Guide amount in the Guide amount of th

quested an appropriation of \$650.10 the total authorization for the Allie

RECAPITUALTION

Total amount of now authorizations emission de M.D. D. Appropriations requested against preyions aptherizations:

a or the serior

Paramedi.

Nove.—The Senate assendment eachdead a Subbition of 10.745,500,000. The department agreed to a Smithibition of \$2, Mr. MORSE. Mr. President, a parita-

The ACTINO PRESIDENT per tent

pore. The Benefor will abute \$2, 000% 6.1 jority leader at the present thest it is

The ACTURG PRESUDENT are A pore. The Sepator from Askaness Elife. PULLSCORE STREET TOO TO TO SEE CONTRACTOR

Mr. MORSE. Who is the asting Re-

publican minosity leader? The north man beautiful problems from the control of th

Mr. PULBRIGHT. Mr. Pro ask unanimous consent that the cit for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT one is

Mr. MORSE. Who is the acting and pore. Without objection, itself so senig dust of standardonale

> STATEMENT BY SENATOR KUCKEL TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE, OF THE RIGATION AND RECLAMATEON OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATION TO THE LOWER COLORAGO RIVER BASIN PROJECT LECENA TION a received of our rw that i, moon and

today I had the honor of appearing he for the Subcommittee on Irrigation and